

1925.

File No. 141-28

Previous References.

(Jangai)

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

mus 28-27-1-2 -
 Mus 327-32-1.

(18)

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

2

Part B for August 1926.

SUBJECT

Recommended that the suau lands under
 to Sri Keshava Deven temple at Dhalur
 may be resumed and the suau tenure
 cancelled and fully assessed.

G. O. No 228-30

dated 5.8.26

To the Secy. Comr

" Comptroller

" Supt. R. & S. S.

Copy to the Secy. B.S.

Said to be S. The lands may be
 sold in public auction, if the Achak
 does not pay the sum of Rs 648/-

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Muzrai

NOTES.

File No. 141
1925

FILE HEADING.

Resumption of the iam land endow-
ed to Sri Karagada Dwar temple at
Ibbalur in the Bangalore Taluk.

From

The Muzrai Com^{ee}

Letter No. C. 357 Dated 7. 11. 25 — Received

Jary No.

583

Enclos.

12c

Spare Copies.

-

Serial No.

1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that owing to the shifting of
the village to a new place, and the non-existence of
the temple building, the lands may be resumed
and the iam tenure cancelled, and that the

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Archak may be permitted to enjoy the
lands on payment of assessment
provided he pays Rs 648/- being
the price of cashew trees in the land
etc.
The Muz. Comr's. letter gives

Serial
No

reasons for the resumption
of the income land.

2 May the Muz. Comr's
recommendation be sanctioned?

3 Submit for orders with a

AV 24.11.25. D/O. S.O. Sanctioning

26 27.11.25

1 Has the order ordering the archak
to pay Rs 8

28.11.25

7
D/O - Submit.

AV 30.11.25 30/11/25

8 June 1.12.25

- 2 No. 688 dated 4.12.25 to the
Muz. Comr. - Requests to submit
the order of the Dy. Comr. directing the
recovery of Rs 8/- p a from 1893-4
from the Archak, as it is not in the
records sent by you. -

3. From the Muz. Comr.

Letter no. C 351 dt 5.4.1926.

Submits the Comr's of
1894 and 1913 directing the recovery
from the Archak of Rs 8/- per
annum.

A Ofr. S.O.

(Vide D.O.
dated 17
K.
5
to upm
1888 Kumbh)

29. BJC

File No.

of 193

(1) $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2 = \{0\}$.

and
No

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 141

of 192

Serial
No

The M.C's recommendation for the cancellation of the wian tenure of the Denadaya wian lands owing to the non-existence of the temple building at Ibbalur in the Bangalore Taluk is on the file for orders.

10 Submitted. The M.C's recommendation may be sanctioned.
8/4/26
Y. G. 26. Draft put up for orders

12 Rev. Secy

8/4

13 12 meeting 4/14/26

4/14/26

It has been ascertained that the proposed work by the Y. G. has been agreed to and that no work any other representation.

15. If he has not been granted, the M.C may be asked to submit to the D.C. to obtain his statement for Archana with the matter to be done as he has been in the 2nd May

2/16/26

16/4

Serial
No

16 There is no clear information on the point.

17 In his petition of 13-10-1924 addressed to the Dy. Comr and the Taluk Amildar, he complains that arrears of Rs 84 per annum were ordered to be recovered when the man was in the possession of one munigappa ^{and} that he is not responsible for it, that during some years, the Jutta has been sold and the proceeds credited to Govt. ^{that} in ~~some~~ ^{other} years, the realizations from "Hullu Banni" have been credited to Govt. and that deduction of these amounts may be allowed, and that as regards the sale proceeds from Casuarina trees, as Patel Bala ^(the vendee) ready, did not pay him anything and deceived him, the amount viz Rs 400/- may be recovered from him, and adds that he is prepared to pay any reasonable amount which Govt may stipulate. In his Khyatid of 12.8.1920, he states that he has laid out Rs 200/- in the Casuarina trees and prays that out of the sale realizations from these trees, he may be given this amount.

Serial
No.

18 It is reported that the allegation that the gross realization and the sale proceeds of the produce of the lands were credited to Govt. is not supported by record, that Munigappa in whose possession the main lands continued for some years, and the petr. Archak Maiganna - are brothers of an undivided family, and that as the Archak sold away the Casuarina trees to the Patel when they were under attachment by Govt., both of them are held responsible for the amount.

19 A off. enquiring, is submitted. as directed

AV
19.4.26

20 Please put up a
20/4/26 clean draft ~~revised~~
revised
10/4.

21 Typed D/R submitted; for approval.

AV
23.4.26

22 Ref: - Paras 14 & 15.
There is no clear information, required in para (14).
The archak does not seem to have been questioned.

23 Draft to M.C., enquiring is put up (copy para 15), for approval.

24 Rev. Secy
12/5/26

Serial
No.

1181

18 It is reported that the allegation that the gross realization and the sale proceeds of the produce of the lands were credited to Govt. is not supported by record, that Munigappa in whose possession the main lands continued for some years, and the petr. Archak Mariganna - are brothers of an undivided family, and that as the Archak sold away the Casuarina trees to the Patel when they were under attachment by Govt., both of them are held responsible for the amount.

19 A off. enquiring, is submitd. as directed.

AV
19.4.26

20 Please put up a
20/4/26 clean draft ~~revised~~
revised & ~~20/4~~
20/4.

21
Typed Dtr submit; for approval.

AV
23.4.26

22 Ref: - Paras 14 & 15.
There is no clear information, required in para (14).
The archak does not seem to have been questioned.

23 Draft to M.C., enquiring is put up (as per para 15), for approval.

24 Rev. Secy ~~25/4~~
25/4

Serial
No

This may sold This is an extremely old case. The temple
service in which the lands were granted has not
been in existence since 1882. and the De ordered the attachment
of the lands and leasing out of the lands annually as
early 1894 (Flag 14) ~~2000~~

11263
28/6

30
The ~~1st~~ members
The ~~1st~~ members are not all here. I think I deal
with this as D.C. or M.C. or both! CPD
27626
2/10
The Archak has not given
Card to him up the notice
~~Revenue~~ Served. The ~~1st~~ members
tenure ~~the~~ ^{in auction} ~~the~~ ^{land} ~~the~~ ^{ordered to be}
the land ^{cancelled} sold by auction ^{of}
within a month after
since I notice the account -
ordered 645 is not paid.

29/6

29/6

31) D/O. S.O. Submiv.

32) Draft put up is not in
the form usual in such cases
(dealt with in the Rev. Br.)
This may be sent to the Rev. Br. for
putting up the draft G.O. 20/2

Rev Br) 33) A typed draft is Subd. -
approval - the file may be sent to
My Branch - for issue
revised.

34) Draft needs revision.
Put up a ~~typed~~ ^{revised} draft
as instructed.

Mr. Rama Sanyal
will attend to this.
2/10/25

Please put up a
typed draft as revised.
2/10/25

General and Revenue
SecretariatFile No *141*

of 1925

Serial
No.

A issue from
Muz Br, after
approval

35) Typed draft subd, as revised.

4
26.7

36) Typed draft G. & S put up
for approval.

Rev. Secy

1
21/3

37) *at no*
50 Issue

420

64726

6.

To,
Messrs. Commins Comptrol
Super Rev Survey Settlement
No 228-30 D. S. 8. 26

Passes final
in the matter

15
9/10/26

1935(

NO. C 351 of 256

250

Office of the Mayor Committee on the City

Date: Page: of (th No. of 2. 6 5

120

Gal Indram Iyer, Esq. B.A.,

not commencing in my life, RANKING.

R. Sreenivas Tyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of the Hawaiian Islands

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department

In submitting herewith copy of letter No. G. 3. G.O. 1157/34 of dated the 29th September 1935 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District recommending the resumption of the temple land to Sri Karsa Sannidhanam temple at Hbl. An. Bangalore taluk. It is requested to be conducted on account of non-existence of the temple building. It is an honor to state that it is reported that about the year 1928 the temple

Irhalur was shifted to a new place on the hill in the fall of 1945.
ruin.

✓ Most of the villagers settled at Agard village & three miles of a mile from the newly built village and only a small number of the mostly Adikarnatahs reside in the village.

3 Though the villagers were given a chance to construct a fire building, they have failed to do so till now, in spite of electric orders passed by the Deputy Commissioner on 25-6-194.

4 The pujari of the temple has been enjoying the land since 1854 without rendering any service and the then Deputy Commissioner took the recovery from the Archak of a sum of Rs 3/- per annum being the difference between the assessment on the lands and the pidda due to Govt. thereon. The Archak has not paid this amount as yet, and the land is reported to be still in the Archak's possession.

The Deputy Commissioner now recommends that the lands may be resumed and the leases be cancelled. He also proposes that the tenant be permitted to enjoy the land's payment of full of ground rent.

10. 11. 70

Letter No. G.S. No. 1157/31-25 dated 25th September 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muz Commissioner, Bangalore, Bangalore.

:-0:-

I have the honor to state that Mr. H. V. (or a Kal) is a village in the Bangalore Taluk is now in the hands of the Government.

Re: S. No.	Extent.	Assessment.	
5. dry	(6) 3. 6-39	Rs 10-0-0	
19. wet	1-30	R 11-0-0	
	38-19	11-0-0	

year 1882 when the temple fell into ruin and is not now, but the Pujari has been enjoying the land and is now (200) of doing any service. The newly built village contains all the houses of which 2 belong to the Government and the rest to the private. The motto of this village is to be a village of 200 of a mile from the newly built village.

3. When the permanent Archak resigned as officiating, one Mr. was appointed to the place as per Deputy Commissioner's order No. 607 dated 25th July 1881. As the services of the Archak were not in the Inam lands and casuarina trees standing on survey No. 15 were attached and leased out on Yakshal tenure vide Deputy Commissioner's order dated 25th September 1894. The Archak was ordered to pay Rs. 8/- being the difference between assessment and Jodi from the year 1893-94.

4. The Archak Mariyanna sold away the casuarina tree for Rs. 400/- to one Pala Reddi, the Patel of the village, though no trees were attached. The Amildar reports that there is no like the temple being constructed and the deity installed and that the temple may be resumed to Government. The Amildar also recommends that the difference between the assessment and Jodi viz. Rs. 8/- for year 1893-94 up to 1894-95 which works up to Rs. 24/- and the sum of Rs. 400/- realized from the sale of the casuarina trees may be recovered from the Archak Mariyanna and the Inam lands resumed.

It is further suggested by the Amildar that if the Archak Mariyanna pays up the above sum of Rs. 640/- within 3 months from the date of the final order, the lands may be left in the possession of the Archak.

APP. F. VI. GL.]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

192

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Seria No.

No.

To

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C. 351 of 7-11-25 recommending the resumption of the main land ordered to Karajaba Baram temple at Ibbalur in the Bangalore Taluk on account of the non-carried of worship and the non-existence of the temple building, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to

over

15

to send up for ref. The
records containing the Dy.
Commr's order directing the
recovery from the Breake
of a sum of Rs 87 per
annum from 1893-4
being the difference
between the assessment
on the lands and the
Jodi due to Govt. thereon.
(2) The Ven. records
are referred for ref. and
re-bulk.

I am therefore to request
to send you, to submit
the recd. if any
in which the above
order was passed
is combined.

I have etc.

✓
Dy. Secretary.

84
30/11/15

14275
No 63 51 of 25-26, Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
encl two for file.

Dated Bangalore, 3rd April 1926.
5

From



Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.
2nd 14

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.688/M.F.141-25-2 dated the 4th December 1925 requesting to submit the records containing the order of the Deputy Commissioner directing the recovery of a sum of Rs.8/- per annum from the archak of Karagoda devaru temple ---- being the difference between the assessment and the Jodi due to Government on the inam lands belonging to the temple at Ibbalur -- in the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar who was asked by the Deputy Commissioner to submit the records --- refers to the two communications dated 16th May 1894 and 9th July 1913 of the then Deputy Commissioners (flags B & C). The first order says that a levy of Rs.8/- per annum from the Archak for the period he has been enjoying the lands without doing puja is ---- reasonable and the pujari may be asked as to what he would say to pay the dues. The second of the orders refers to the first. ^{There} It is reported that no building for the temple exists and that is no probability of one being constructed.

The records received with the Deputy Commissioners letter - No.C.3-1421-25 dated the 18th March 1926 are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. S. Balasundaram
Muzrai Commissioner.

M. 1376 *mus 2 file*
mus 41-25-4 5/1/57/26

To

The Deputy Commissioner

In Mysore, Mysore.

Sir,

(Signed by Mr. N.)
Adverting to correspondence on the subject of your letter No. 2551 dated 1-4-1926, recommending that, owing to the non-existence of the temple building of Karasudate near Ibbalur in the Bangalore Taluk, the ~~possession~~ *possession* of ~~the lands may be resumed and that the possession~~ *the lands may be resumed and that the possession* of the ~~lands may be resumed and that the possession~~ *the lands may be resumed and that the possession* in the possession of the Archak on the basis of assessment provided to be Rs. 200/- being the price of cessuaris free of the land and the arrears of Jari be Rs. 100/- from the year 1893-94, I am directed to state that the Archak does not seem to have been questioned about the proposal made by you and to request that you will be so good as to obtain from the Dy. Commr. the Archak's statement in the matter and submit it to Govt. before the end of Dec 1926.

2. The records are retained for reference and re-submission.

24/6/26


I have read.
25/4
The Deputy Commissioner.
25/4

No. C351/25-26 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
and one file

Dated Bangalore, 9th June 1926.

52347

From



C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

7888/2274
BEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
12 JUN 1926
MUZRAI

D1500

Muz/41-25-5

To
C. Ranganatha Rao Sahib, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1396/muz.141-25-4 dated -
1st May 1926 requesting the submission of the Archak's statement
relating to Devadaya Inam lands of Ibbalur Karagada Devaru temple
in the Bangalore Taluk I have the honor to state that the Deputy
Commissioner Bangalore District in his letter No.C.3-1491-25 dated
31st May 1926 reports that the archak's statement could not be --
obtained and submitted as he did not turn up before the Amildar --
Bangalore Taluk on the date of hearing (i.e. 20th May 1926) in
spite of a notice asking him to appear having been served on him
personally.

The file of records received with the Deputy Commissioner's
letter are submitted herewith together with the notice served on
the Archak.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer,
Muzrai Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

-----0:-----

R e a d : -

Correspondence ending with
letter No. C. 351 dated the 11th June 1926
from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in
the matter of the cancellation of the Inam
tenure of the marginally noted Devadaya
Inam lands endowed to the Sri Karagade
Devaru Temple, a Muzrai Institution at
Ibbalur in the Bangalore Taluk.

S. No.	Extent.	ass ^t	Jodi
5(dry)	a 9 6-39	Rs 2 12-0-0	} Rs 19-0.
19(wet)	1-20	11-0-0.	

Enc one file
Muzr Comr sent.

Order No. 11-11-25-6
Dated, Bangalore, 5th July 1926.

It is reported that the village Ibbalur having been shifted to a new place in 1882, the temple noted above, fell into ruins, that no puja is being conducted and that the Pujari Marianna has been enjoying the lands on payment of Jodi without doing any service. The villagers, though they were given a chance to reconstruct the temple building, have failed to do so, in spite of the Deputy Commissioner's order to that effect, and it is stated that there is no likelihood of the temple being constructed and the deity installed. Both the Deputy Commissioner and the Muzrai Commissioner recommend that the Devadaya lands may be resumed to Government, that ^{the} ~~the~~ Inam tenure, ^{be} ~~of the same~~ cancelled, ^{and} ~~that~~ the lands, ~~may be~~ confirmed to the Archak ~~Marianna~~ Mariyanna on full assessment, in consideration of his long enjoyment, on condition of his paying within 3 months

months from the date of the final order,
a sum of Rs. 648/-, made up of the price, ^{Rs 400, being}
~~(Rs. 400/-)~~ of the casuarina trees on the
lands, sold by him unauthorisedly, and ^{Rs 248} ~~Rs 248~~
^{the} ~~being~~ difference between the full assessment and
the Jodi on the lands in question from
the year 1893-94, as already ordered by the
Deputy Commissioner, ^{and} ~~and~~ that ^{on failure of} ~~if he fails~~
^{payment} ~~to so pay up~~, the lands may be sold in
public auction. It is further reported
that the Archak did not ^{present himself} ~~turn up~~ before the
Amildar, to give his statement in the
matter, in spite of a notice for his appear-
ance, having been served on him personally.
In the circumstances, Government direct
that the inam tenure of the above lands be
cancelled and the lands fully assessed, as
recommended, ^{and further, that} the lands ~~will~~ be sold in
public auction, if the Archak does not,
within a month from the date of service of
notice, pay the sum of Rs. 648, ^{as above,} ~~and retain~~
~~the lands in his possession on full assess-~~
~~ment.~~ ^{referred to above}

(R.S.)
29726
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

P.H.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner with records.

The Comptroller to Government,

The Superintendent, Revenue Survey
and Settlements,

^{Revenue}
Copy to the Muzrai Branch.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1913.
(*Muzrai*)

File No. 205-13

Serial Nos. *A*

Papers Filed.

Month *August 1914*

SUBJECT.

*Petition from Kesavaroyachetty at Bernur
re disbursement of interest on the annuities
endowed for the upkeep of the Anathalaya at
Bernur.*

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

do
205-13 (1-4)

LATER REFERENCES

*Patil's letter
29/10/14*